

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

MICHAEL RAY YOUNG,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
STATE OF NEVADA, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

Case No. 2:17-cv-01062-RFB-VCF

**ORDER**

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 10) of the Honorable Cam Ferenbach, United States Magistrate Judge, entered June 12, 2017.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by June 26, 2017. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

...

1           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 10) is  
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Young's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (ECF  
4 No. 8) is DENIED.

5           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Young's Amended Complaint (ECF No. 7) is  
6 DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

7           The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon Plaintiff.

8           DATED: July 14, 2017.



---

10           **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
11           United States District Judge

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28